

Abdominal ultrasound for diagnosing abdominal tuberculosis or disseminated tuberculosis with abdominal involvement in HIV-positive adults

Van Hoving, Daniel J.; Meintjes, Graeme; Takwoingi, Yemisi; Griesel, Rulan; Maartens, Gary; Ochodo, Eleanor A.

DOI:

[10.1002/14651858.CD012777](https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD012777)

License:

Other (please specify with Rights Statement)

Document Version

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Citation for published version (Harvard):

Van Hoving, DJ, Meintjes, G, Takwoingi, Y, Griesel, R, Maartens, G & Ochodo, EA 2017, 'Abdominal ultrasound for diagnosing abdominal tuberculosis or disseminated tuberculosis with abdominal involvement in HIV-positive adults', *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*, vol. 2017, no. 8, CD012777.
<https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD012777>

[Link to publication on Research at Birmingham portal](#)

Publisher Rights Statement:

Use of this article is subject to the terms and conditions of the Cochrane Library detailed here: <http://www.cochranelibrary.com/help/open-access-options-for-the-cochrane-library.html>

General rights

Unless a licence is specified above, all rights (including copyright and moral rights) in this document are retained by the authors and/or the copyright holders. The express permission of the copyright holder must be obtained for any use of this material other than for purposes permitted by law.

- Users may freely distribute the URL that is used to identify this publication.
- Users may download and/or print one copy of the publication from the University of Birmingham research portal for the purpose of private study or non-commercial research.
- User may use extracts from the document in line with the concept of 'fair dealing' under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (?)
- Users may not further distribute the material nor use it for the purposes of commercial gain.

Where a licence is displayed above, please note the terms and conditions of the licence govern your use of this document.

When citing, please reference the published version.

Take down policy

While the University of Birmingham exercises care and attention in making items available there are rare occasions when an item has been uploaded in error or has been deemed to be commercially or otherwise sensitive.

If you believe that this is the case for this document, please contact UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk providing details and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate.



Cochrane
Library

Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews

Abdominal ultrasound for diagnosing abdominal tuberculosis or disseminated tuberculosis with abdominal involvement in HIV-positive adults (Protocol)

Van Hoving DJ, Meintjes G, Takwoingi Y, Griesel R, Maartens G, Ochodo EA

Van Hoving DJ, Meintjes G, Takwoingi Y, Griesel R, Maartens G, Ochodo EA.

Abdominal ultrasound for diagnosing abdominal tuberculosis or disseminated tuberculosis with abdominal involvement in HIV-positive adults.

Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews 2017, Issue 8. Art. No.: CD012777.

DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD012777.

www.cochranelibrary.com

TABLE OF CONTENTS

HEADER	1
ABSTRACT	1
BACKGROUND	1
Figure 1.	4
OBJECTIVES	5
METHODS	6
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	8
REFERENCES	9
APPENDICES	11
CONTRIBUTIONS OF AUTHORS	15
DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST	15
SOURCES OF SUPPORT	15

Abdominal ultrasound for diagnosing abdominal tuberculosis or disseminated tuberculosis with abdominal involvement in HIV-positive adults

Daniel J Van Hoving¹, Graeme Meintjes², Yemisi Takwoingi³, Rulan Griesel⁴, Gary Maartens⁴, Eleanor A Ochodo⁵

¹Division of Emergency Medicine, University of Cape Town and Stellenbosch University, Cape Town, South Africa. ²Department of Medicine, University of Cape Town, Cape Town, South Africa. ³Institute of Applied Health Research, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, UK. ⁴Division of Clinical Pharmacology, Department of Medicine, University of Cape Town, Cape Town, South Africa. ⁵Centre for Evidence-based Health Care, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, Stellenbosch University, Cape Town, South Africa

Contact address: Daniel J Van Hoving, Division of Emergency Medicine, University of Cape Town and Stellenbosch University, Faculty of Health Sciences, University of Cape Town, Anzio Road Observatory, Cape Town, 7701, South Africa. nvhoving@sun.ac.za, niel.vanhoving@uct.ac.za.

Editorial group: Cochrane Infectious Diseases Group.

Publication status and date: New, published in Issue 8, 2017.

Citation: Van Hoving DJ, Meintjes G, Takwoingi Y, Griesel R, Maartens G, Ochodo EA. Abdominal ultrasound for diagnosing abdominal tuberculosis or disseminated tuberculosis with abdominal involvement in HIV-positive adults. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2017, Issue 8. Art. No.: CD012777. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD012777.

Copyright © 2017 The Cochrane Collaboration. Published by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

ABSTRACT

This is a protocol for a Cochrane Review (Diagnostic test accuracy). The objectives are as follows:

To determine the diagnostic accuracy of abdominal ultrasound as a standalone test for detecting abdominal TB or disseminated TB with abdominal involvement in HIV-positive adults.

- To determine the diagnostic accuracy of combinations of abdominal ultrasound and existing tests (chest radiograph, full blood count) for detecting abdominal TB or disseminated TB with abdominal involvement in HIV-positive adults.
- To investigate potential sources of heterogeneity in test accuracy, including clinical setting, ultrasound training level, and type of reference standard.

BACKGROUND

Target condition being diagnosed

Tuberculosis (TB) is caused by the bacillus *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. Although it usually affects the lungs (pulmonary TB), it

can also spread to other body sites (extrapulmonary TB) (WHO 2013a).

An estimated 10.4 million people were diagnosed with TB in 2015 and 1.8 million people died due to TB (WHO 2016b). Resource-limited countries are the most affected, for example, the African region of the World Health Organization (WHO) had the second highest number of incident cases (2.7 million), but the

highest incidence rate (275 versus 142 globally) and mortality rate (HIV-positive: 30 versus 5.3 globally; HIV-negative: 45 versus 19 globally) per 100,000 people (WHO 2016b).

The probability of developing TB is higher among people living with HIV. Approximately 1.1 million people diagnosed worldwide with TB in 2015 were HIV-positive (WHO 2016b), while the HIV prevalence among incident TB cases in the African region was 31% (WHO 2016b).

The worldwide case detection rate in 2015 was only an estimated 59% (WHO 2016b). This low rate of detection relates to problems with TB diagnostic tests (sensitivity, specificity, and availability), the negative influence of HIV infection on the performance of diagnostic tests, and HIV co-infection and the opportunistic conditions that complicate it (Palmieri 2002; Dawson 2010; Padmapriyadarsini 2011; Steingart 2014; WHO 2016a). The diagnosis of active TB in HIV-positive patients with advanced immunosuppression is challenging due to more atypical clinical presentations; other opportunistic pulmonary infections with similar presentations; high proportion negative sputum smears; and high rates of extrapulmonary TB (Sharma 2005). This is illustrated by autopsy studies, which indicate a very high proportion of TB in HIV-positive adults (32% to 47%); almost half (46%) of adult TB cases remained undiagnosed premortem (Gupta 2015).

In people with HIV-associated TB, extrapulmonary TB accounts for up to 50% of all TB cases (Sharma 2004b; Kingkaew 2009; Namme 2013), and is often disseminated (two or more non-contiguous sites simultaneously infected) (Sharma 2005). Any anatomical site can be involved, but the commonest sites are the lymph nodes, pleura, meninges, and the abdominal cavity (Sharma 2005). Many terms are used in the literature to describe TB in the abdominal cavity. For the purpose of this Cochrane Review, we will use the terms abdominal TB or disseminated TB with abdominal involvement - excluding genitourinary TB. Many abdominal structures can be affected in abdominal TB or disseminated TB with abdominal involvement, including involvement of the gastrointestinal tract, peritoneum, omentum, mesentery, intra-abdominal lymph nodes, and solid organs (liver, spleen, pancreas) (Sharma 2004b). Patients often present with non-specific symptoms and signs, and a high index of suspicion is therefore needed for early diagnosis and timely management. It mimics a large number of medical and surgical conditions, including malignant neoplasms, inflammatory bowel disease, chronic liver disease, and other gastrointestinal infections (Jadvar 1997).

Index test(s)

Many HIV-positive people with low CD4 counts have abdominal TB or disseminated TB with abdominal involvement. As sputum smears are frequently negative in HIV-associated TB, it is common clinical practice, supported by WHO guidelines, to reach TB diagnosis on the basis of imaging results and clinical case definitions (Wilson 2006; WHO 2016a). Ultrasound is such an imag-

ing test that can be used as a diagnostic tool (Heller 2010a; Heller 2010b; Patel 2011; Giordani 2013). Ultrasound uses sound waves to produce images of structures and organs within the body, and is particularly relevant in low-resource settings as it is more accessible and cheaper than using computed tomography (CT). Ultrasound techniques for TB diagnosis are also easily learned and quick to perform (less than 10 minutes) (Heller 2010a).

Abdominal ultrasound (an ultrasound examination evaluating the abdominal cavity) is useful in HIV-positive patients with suspected abdominal TB or disseminated TB with abdominal involvement. Suggestive findings include lymphadenopathy, ascites, and focal liver and splenic lesions (Heller 2010a; Heller 2010b; Patel 2011; Giordani 2013). However, the ultrasound findings are non-specific and various other diseases may present with the same features. For example, intra-abdominal lymphadenopathy can be due to other infections (for example, cryptococcosis, histoplasmosis); lymphomas (non-Hodgkin's lymphoma and Hodgkin's lymphoma); and Kaposi's sarcoma (Martin-Bates 1993).

Clinical pathway

Any structure or organ in the abdominal cavity (for example, gastrointestinal tract, pancreatobiliary system, peritoneum, and lymph nodes) can be affected by TB disease. The presentation varies considerably and depends on the specific organ involved; other diseases are also often mimicked (Sharma 2004a). Common presenting symptoms are abdominal pain, anorexia, bowel disturbances, fever, and weight loss; whereas the clinical examination often reveals abdominal tenderness, ascites, and solid organ enlargement (for example, hepatomegaly, splenomegaly, or hepatosplenomegaly) (Ibrahim 2005; Mandal 2011).

Essential diagnostic tests, as suggested by the WHO for individuals who are suspected of having abdominal TB or disseminated TB with abdominal involvement, include a chest x-ray, sputum evaluation (if able to produce) for bacteriological confirmation of TB disease (smear or culture or Xpert MTB/RIF), and blood cultures (WHO 2013b). Urine specimens remain a convenient clinical sample for the diagnosis of TB. Although conventional TB diagnostics applied to urine specimens have limited clinical utility, the use of urinary lipoarabinomannan (LAM) has been recommended by the WHO in HIV-positive adults with advanced immunosuppression (CD4 cell count less than or equal to 100 cells/ μ L) or in HIV-positive adults who are seriously ill (respiratory rate $> 30/\text{min}$, temperature $> 39^{\circ}\text{C}$, heart rate $> 120/\text{min}$ and unable to walk unaided) regardless of their CD4 cell count (WHO 2015; Shah 2016). These tests are usually done in the primary care setting and higher.

Abdominal ultrasound has become part of the initial diagnostic work up in adults living with HIV where abdominal TB or disseminated TB with abdominal involvement is suspected (especially in those with a low CD4 count), despite the lack of robust evidence of validity from large studies (NICE 2016). The diagnostic pathway


might differ in different settings if there are ultrasound findings suggestive of TB. In low-resource settings this might be enough evidence to initiate anti-TB treatment, but in high-resource settings it would prompt site-specific investigations which could include CT scan, paracentesis, laparoscopy, fine needle aspiration, or stool examination.

A presumptive diagnosis of abdominal TB or disseminated TB with abdominal involvement can be made in the setting of known active pulmonary TB, although less than half of chest radiographs are compatible with active or healed TB (Chow 2002).

Routine laboratory studies are nonspecific and include a mild normocytic and normochromic anaemia, hypoalbuminaemia, and

raised erythrocyte sedimentation rate (Kapoor 1998; Chow 2002; Sharma 2004a). A normal leukocyte count is present in most patients. However, the WHO further recommends immediate initiation of anti-TB therapy in patients living with HIV who have clinical features of disseminated TB (WHO 2016a). Bacteriological confirmation of TB from any specimen remains important but treatment should not be delayed until results become available (Figure 1). Patients started on anti-TB therapy without bacteriological confirmation should be assessed after one month to evaluate the clinical response to treatment. Patients should be reassessed and an alternative diagnosis sought if there is no clinical improvement.

Figure 1. Diagnostic workup of HIV-positive adults with suspected abdominal tuberculosis or disseminated tuberculosis with abdominal involvement



HIV: Human Immunodeficiency Virus; TB: Tuberculosis; CT: Computed tomography

* In high-resource settings, this would most likely prompt additional site-specific investigations (additional tests) and not immediate initiation of treatment

Alternative test(s)

Ascitic fluid analysis suggestive of abdominal TB or disseminated TB with abdominal involvement includes a leukocyte count of 150 to 4000 cells/mL, which consists predominantly of lymphocytes (Sharma 2004a; Sanai 2005). The ascitic fluid is usually an exudate with the protein content greater than 30 g/L and the serum-ascites albumin gradient (SAAG) less than 11 g/L (Sharma 2004a; Sanai 2005). Adenosine deaminase activity (ADA) of ascitic fluid (> 39 IU/L) is also suggestive of abdominal TB (Riquelme 2006), while the ascites to blood glucose ratio is usually less than 0.96 (Wilkins 1984). Acid-Fast Bacilli (AFB) smear and culture of ascitic fluid also have disappointingly low yields (Chow 2003).

Different imaging modalities can be useful to diagnose abdominal TB or disseminated TB with abdominal involvement. Abdominal x-rays are of very limited value, but can assist with the diagnosis of intestinal obstruction and perforation (Debi 2014). CT features include thickening of the peritoneum, omentum, and bowel wall; lymph nodes (especially if these have hypodense centres due to caseous necrosis); and ascites with strands, debris, and fine separations (Sharma 2004a; Lee 2012). The excellent soft tissue resolution and multiplanar acquisition of Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) resulted in it being used to evaluate solid organs and lymphadenopathy (Joshi 2014). However, MRI is expensive and access is very limited in low-resource settings. Barium studies may be useful for intrinsic bowel abnormalities such as strictures, fistulae, and erosions (Sharma 2004a; Debi 2014).

Colonoscopy with biopsy is a useful non-operative diagnostic procedure to obtain material for histology and culture (Kim 1998). Mucosal nodules and transverse ulcers in the bowel are very suggestive of TB, with definitive results obtained from tissue sent for polymerase chain reaction (PCR), Ziehl-Neelsen stain, and culture (Kim 1998; Sharma 2004a). Laparoscopy is useful in two ways: (i) it allows visual inspection of the peritoneum; and (ii) it permits specimens for histology, AFB stain, and culture to be obtained. However, imaging modalities as described above provide a safer and less expensive alternative, but may be less specific since they are unable to provide a definitive microbiological diagnosis (Sanai 2005).

Expanded clinical case definitions were developed to diagnose smear-negative TB in HIV-positive patients living in low-resource settings (Wilson 2006), including abdominal TB or disseminated TB with abdominal involvement (Wilson 2006; WHO 2016a). For example, a patient presenting with symptoms and signs suggestive of abdominal TB or disseminated TB with abdominal involvement can be started on antituberculous treatment if the ascitic fluid consists of a lymphocytic exudate along with either a fever $\geq 38^{\circ}\text{C}$ on two occasions or drenching sweats for greater than two weeks (Wilson 2006). In this study, the positive predictive value for abdominal lymph nodes diagnosed by ultrasound

was 94% (Wilson 2006). Augmented by the use of objective criteria to monitor response to treatment within the first eight weeks, this approach has reasonable diagnostic accuracy (Wilson 2006).

Rationale

Rapid, simple, inexpensive, and accurate diagnostic tests for TB in general and TB in HIV-positive patients are needed. The value of diagnostic tests for TB in HIV-positive patients is that they may be able to reduce both time to TB treatment initiation and inappropriate use of empiric TB treatment. The latter is associated with unnecessary costs, inconvenience, and potential adverse drug reactions of antituberculous treatment (Wilson 2006).

The accurate diagnosis of TB in HIV-positive patients is difficult as is evident by the poor global case detection rate (WHO 2016b). Many HIV-positive people with low CD4 counts have abdominal TB or disseminated TB with abdominal involvement. Ultrasound can be a useful diagnostic tool to identify abdominal TB or disseminated TB with abdominal involvement. Ultrasound is non-invasive, portable, inexpensive, and safe. It is widely available in low-resource settings that have limited access to CT and MRI scanners (Heller 2010a). Multiple studies of various quality and designs have looked at the use of abdominal ultrasound as a diagnostic tool for abdominal TB or disseminated TB with abdominal involvement; with varying sensitivity, specificity, and predictive values for diagnosing TB (Monill-Serra 1997; Mugala 2006; Sinkala 2009; Sculier 2010; Patel 2011; Heller 2013). Abdominal ultrasound may be used alone, in combination with existing tests (chest radiograph, full blood count), or as an add-on following negative results from existing tests (smear microscopy, sputum Xpert MTB/RIF, sputum culture, chest radiograph). The role of abdominal ultrasound as an add-on test is an important clinical question because it may reflect the way that abdominal ultrasound is used in practice, especially in low-resource settings. However, after a scoping search, we did not find any studies that have evaluated the accuracy of ultrasound as an add-on test. Therefore, this Cochrane Review will address the accuracy of ultrasound used alone or in combination with other tests.

OBJECTIVES

To determine the diagnostic accuracy of abdominal ultrasound as a standalone test for detecting abdominal TB or disseminated TB with abdominal involvement in HIV-positive adults.

Secondary objectives

- To determine the diagnostic accuracy of combinations of abdominal ultrasound and existing tests (chest radiograph, full blood count) for detecting abdominal TB or disseminated TB with abdominal involvement in HIV-positive adults.
- To investigate potential sources of heterogeneity in test accuracy, including clinical setting, ultrasound training level, and type of reference standard.

METHODS

Criteria for considering studies for this review

Types of studies

We will include cross-sectional, cohort, or diagnostic case-control studies (prospective and retrospective) that compare the result of the index tests (abdominal ultrasound and abdominal ultrasound plus existing tests) with one of the reference standards (see [Reference standards](#)). We will include conference abstracts if we can obtain the required data (as specified below). Case-control studies may overestimate sensitivity and specificity, but because we anticipate identifying few relevant studies, we will include them. If there is a sufficient number of studies, we will examine the effect of excluding case-control studies in sensitivity analyses. We will include studies in which the study authors report the numbers of true positives (TP), true negatives (TN), false positives (FP), and false negatives (FN), or which we can derive from reported statistics, or obtain from the study authors. We will exclude descriptive studies (for example, case series).

Participants

We will include all HIV-positive adults (≥ 18 years) with a clinical suspicion of abdominal TB or disseminated TB with abdominal involvement (excluding genitourinary TB), who were investigated using an abdominal ultrasound examination. We will also consider studies that include confirmed cases of abdominal TB and controls. We will not place any restrictions on setting. Although abdominal ultrasound can be used to evaluate children, microbiological confirmation of TB is far more difficult than in adults, and so we will exclude children.

Index tests

We will include studies that evaluate the accuracy of abdominal ultrasound. We will not place any restrictions on the type of ultrasound machine used or the qualification of the person performing the ultrasound, but we will record these data. A positive result will be an ultrasound scan with abnormal findings suggestive of

abdominal TB or disseminated TB with abdominal involvement including - but not limited to - free abdominal fluid, abdominal lymph nodes, hepatic lesions, and splenic lesions. A negative result will be an ultrasound scan with no abnormal findings.

We will deem test combinations as positive if the abdominal ultrasound is positive and either the chest x-ray or the full blood count are positive. We will deem the chest x-ray to be positive if any radiological features are suggestive of TB. A negative result will be a chest x-ray with no radiological features suggestive of TB. We will consider a full blood count to be positive if a normocytic (mean corpuscular volume 80 to 100 fl) anaemia (haemoglobin less than 13 g/dL in men, or less than 12 g/dL in women) were present ([WHO 2008](#)).

Target conditions

Active disease due to *M. tuberculosis* - either abdominal TB or disseminated TB with abdominal involvement.

Reference standards

We will use a hierarchy of reference standards. The reference standard diagnosis typically relates to microbiological confirmation (microscopy or culture), although histopathological characteristics strongly support a diagnosis of active TB in clinically and epidemiologically appropriate settings. Xpert MTB/RIF assay (an automated nucleic acid amplification test) can also identify *M. tuberculosis*. A clinical diagnosis of TB is sometimes used in the absence of confirmative tests, for example, probable TB can be defined as the clinical picture of TB without objective diagnostic TB criteria and treated for TB by the attending physician. Although this approach is clinically useful, it is very subjective as it relies on the clinical gestalt of the treating physician. It is therefore seen as a lower quality reference standard.

The primary (higher quality) reference standard will be bacteriological confirmation of any clinical specimen including (i) at least one specimen culture positive for *M. tuberculosis*, (ii) microscopic identification of AFB on stained sputum smears, lymph node aspirate, or any other specimen; or (iii) Xpert MTB/RIF positive ([WHO 2013a](#)). We will consider a positive result on any of these tests as a positive result for the microbiological (higher quality) reference standard and a TB case, since not all of the tests might have been performed or might have a positive result. The reference standard will be either solid or liquid culture for *M. tuberculosis* complex ([Lawn 2011](#)). The sensitivity of smear microscopy can be increased by examining more than one sample, using fluorescence microscopy, and using physical and chemical sputum processing techniques including centrifugation, sedimentation, and bleach ([Steingart 2006a](#); [Steingart 2006b](#)). We will therefore include studies that use any of these techniques.

The secondary (lower quality) reference standard will be clinical diagnosis of TB without microbiological confirmation. A clinically

diagnosed TB case is one that has been diagnosed with active TB by a healthcare practitioner and anti-TB therapy has subsequently been initiated. This definition lacks bacteriological confirmation but includes cases diagnosed on the basis of suggestive histology (necrotising granulomatous inflammation), x-ray abnormalities, and extrapulmonary cases without laboratory confirmation (WHO 2013a). Using clinical diagnosis as a reference standard could potentially bias test accuracy because abdominal ultrasound is often used to inform the clinical decision to treat for TB. We will include these studies as incorporation bias had a small effect in diagnostic accuracy estimates (Rutjes 2006). However, we will assess the impact of incorporation bias with a sensitivity analysis and have also adapted the revised tool for the Quality Assessment of Diagnostic Accuracy Studies (QUADAS-2)

Search methods for identification of studies

Electronic searches

We will search the electronic databases, MEDLINE, Embase, BIOSIS, and MEDION. The MEDLINE search strategy is outlined in [Appendix 1](#). We will further translate the MEDLINE search to Embase and BIOSIS databases to identify additional records. To avoid missing studies, we will not use a diagnostic search filter or restrict searches by date or language.

Searching other resources

We will examine the reference lists of relevant reviews and studies; and search web sites of the WHO, the Stop TB Partnership, and the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (NIAID). We will also perform forward citation searching of relevant articles using the PubMed related articles feature, Google Scholar, and ISI citation indices. Furthermore, where necessary we will contact study authors for additional information.

Data collection and analysis

Selection of studies

Two review authors (DJvH and RG) will independently judge study eligibility by examining the title and abstract of each article identified by the literature search and will exclude obviously irrelevant studies. If either review authors considers the abstract to be potentially eligible, we will obtain the full-text article. The two review authors will independently assess each full-text article against the predefined inclusion and exclusion criteria as discussed in the ' [Criteria for considering studies for this review](#)' section.

The two review authors will resolve any disagreements by discussion. If the review authors cannot reach consensus, a third review author (GrM) will have the final decision. We will list all articles excluded after full-text assessment and their reasons for exclusion in the ' [Characteristics of excluded studies](#)' table. We will illustrate the study selection process using a PRISMA diagram.

Data extraction and management

Two review authors (DJvH and RG) will independently extract data using a pre designed data extraction form.

We will extract the following data.

- Authors, publication year, and journal.
- Study design.
- Characteristics study participants (age and gender).
- Study inclusion/exclusion criteria.
- Study setting.
- TB prevalence in study setting.
- HIV prevalence in study setting.
- Antiretroviral therapy (ART) status.
- Reference standard (bacteriological, clinical).
- Index test - general: abdominal ultrasound normal or abnormal.
- Index test - specific: individual findings on ultrasound.
- Additional tests (and their results).
- Training level of person performing the ultrasound.
- Number of indeterminate, missing or unavailable test results.
- Number of TP, TN, FP, and FN.

We will resolve any discrepancies in data extraction by discussion. If needed, we will consult a third review author (GrM). We will contact the authors of primary studies if we cannot resolve any disagreements. In the event that this is unsuccessful, we will report the disagreement in the review.

Assessment of methodological quality

We will use the revised tool for the Quality Assessment of Diagnostic Accuracy Studies (QUADAS-2) to assess the risk of bias and applicability of included studies (Whiting 2011). We have tailored the tool to the context of the review as shown in [Appendix 2](#). Two review authors (DJvH and RG) will independently assess the methodological quality using the tailored QUADAS-2 tool. We will resolve any disagreements through consensus or by consulting a third review author (EAO). We will use both graphics and text to present results.

Statistical analysis and data synthesis

Patients are highly likely to have more than one abnormal finding per ultrasound. In our primary analyses, we will use the individual patient as the unit of analysis (that is, any abnormal finding versus

none) and not individual ultrasound findings. Clinically, it is also useful to know the accuracy of individual ultrasound findings as it is plausible that some findings are better indicators of TB than others. Therefore, if there is sufficient data, we will consider the accuracy of individual ultrasound findings in secondary analyses. In these analyses, the patient will still be the unit of analysis as in each analysis we will examine a single finding per patient (for example, free abdominal fluid present or not) rather than multiple findings per patient.

Studies are likely to use different criteria or thresholds to determine the positivity of ultrasound. For example, studies may define an ultrasound scan as positive based on the presence of any abnormal abdominal finding including (but not limited to) organ enlargement, the presence or number of hepatic or splenic lesions, or the presence or size of abdominal nodes. We will construct 2 x 2 tables using the criteria specified in the studies. We will perform preliminary analyses by plotting estimates of sensitivity and specificity from the included studies on forest plots and in receiver operating characteristic (ROC) space using the software, Review Manager 5 (RevMan 5) (RevMan 2014).

If there are sufficient data, we will perform meta-analyses using hierarchical models. To allow for differences in thresholds between studies, we will use the hierarchical summary ROC (HSROC) model to estimate summary ROC (SROC) curves (Rutter 2001). If studies use a common positivity criteria or threshold, we will estimate summary sensitivities and specificities (summary points) using functions of the parameters of the HSROC model. Alternatively, we will use the bivariate model if estimation of summary points is more appropriate given the nature of the data. We will fit the HSROC model using the statistical software SAS version 9.4 (SAS 2016) and the bivariate model using Stata 15 (STATA 2015). If analyses using hierarchical models fail to converge due to sparse data or few studies, we will simplify the models as appropriate based on the focus of interest (summary curves or summary points) (Takwoingi 2015).

Investigations of heterogeneity

Potential sources of heterogeneity include type of reference standard (higher quality versus lower quality), clinical setting (primary care clinic versus hospital), and ultrasound training level (radiologist versus sonographer). We will use these factors as categorical covariates on forest plots and SROC plots for visual assessment of heterogeneity. If sufficient data are available, we will investigate the effect of each covariate by performing subgroup analyses or meta-regression. We will perform meta-regression by including a covariate in a hierarchical model.

Sensitivity analyses

If there are sufficient data, we will perform sensitivity analyses to examine the influence of risk of bias and study design on test ac-

curacy. We will examine the impact of risk of bias in each of the four QUADAS-2 domains (patient selection, index test, reference standard, and flow and timing) by excluding studies at high or unclear risk of bias from the main analyses. To examine the effect of study design, we will exclude case-control studies. To assess the impact of incorporation bias, we will exclude studies that incorporated the index test on the summary estimates.

Assessment of reporting bias

We will not assess reporting bias.

Assessment of the quality of evidence (certainty of the evidence)

We will use the Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development and Evaluation (GRADE) approach (GRADE 2013) and GRADEpro Guideline Development Tool (GDT) software (GRADEpro GDT 2015) to assess the certainty of evidence (also called the quality of evidence). We will rate the quality of evidence as either high (not downgraded), moderate (downgraded by one level), low (downgraded by two levels), or very low (downgraded by more than two levels) for five domains: risk of bias, indirectness, inconsistency, imprecision, and publication bias.

For each outcome, the quality of evidence will start as high if there are high quality observational studies (cross-sectional or cohort studies) that enrolled participants with diagnostic uncertainty. We will, if indicated, use our judgement to classify the reason for downgrading as either serious (downgraded by one level) or very serious (downgraded by two levels).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We acknowledge Vittoria Lutje and Joy Oliver for their assistance with the MEDLINE draft search strategy. Dr Karen R Steingart was the academic editor for this review.

Eleanor A Ochodo is supported by a grant from the Wellcome Trust Foundation (grant number: 109939). The Wellcome Trust has no role in the design, conduct, and interpretation of this review.

Graeme Meintjes is supported by the Wellcome Trust (098316), the South African Research Chairs Initiative of the Department of Science and Technology and National Research Foundation (NRF) of South Africa (Grant No 64787), NRF incentive funding (UID: 85858) and the South African Medical Research Council through its TB and HIV Collaborating Centres Programme with funds received from the National Department of Health (RFA# SAMRC-RFA-CC: TB/HIV/AIDS-01-2014)

The editorial base of the Cochrane Infectious Diseases Group is funded by UK aid from the UK Government for the benefit of developing countries (Grant: 5242). The views expressed in this review do not necessarily reflect UK government policy.

REFERENCES

Additional references

Chow 2002

Chow KM, Chow VC, Hung LC, Wong SM, Szeto CC. Tuberculous peritonitis-associated mortality is high among patients waiting for the results of mycobacterial cultures of ascitic fluid samples. *Clinical Infectious Diseases* 2002;**35**(4): 409–13.

Chow 2003

Chow KM, Chow VC, Szeto CC. Indication for peritoneal biopsy in tuberculous peritonitis. *American Journal of Surgery* 2003;**185**(6):567–73.

Dawson 2010

Dawson R, Masuka P, Edwards DJ, Bateman ED, Bekker LG, Wood R, et al. Chest radiograph reading and recording system: evaluation for tuberculosis screening in patients with advanced HIV. *International Journal of Tuberculosis and Lung Disease* 2010;**14**(1):52–8.

Debi 2014

Debi U, Ravisankar V, Prasad KK, Sinha SK, Sharma AK. Abdominal tuberculosis of the gastrointestinal tract: revisited. *World Journal of Gastroenterology* 2014;**20**(40): 14831–40.

Giordani 2013

Giordani MT, Brunetti E, Binazzi R, Benedetti P, Stecca C, Goblirsch S, et al. Extrapulmonary mycobacterial infections in a cohort of HIV-positive patients: ultrasound experience from Vicenza, Italy. *Infection* 2013;**41**(2):409–14.

GRADE 2013

Schünemann H, Brozek J, Oxman A, editors. GRADE handbook for grading quality of evidence and strength of recommendations. Updated October 2013. Available from www.gdt.guidelinedevelopment.org/app/handbook/handbook.html. The GRADE Working Group, (accessed 04 August 2017).

GRADEpro GDT 2015 [Computer program]

McMaster University (developed by Evidence Prime). GRADEpro GDT. Version accessed 04 August 2017. Hamilton (ON): McMaster University (developed by Evidence Prime), 2015.

Gupta 2015

Gupta RK, Lucas SB, Fielding KL, Lawn SD. Prevalence of tuberculosis in post-mortem studies of HIV-infected adults and children in resource-limited settings: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *AIDS* 2015;**29**(15):1987–2002.

Heller 2010a

Heller T, Goblirsch S, Wallrauch C, Lessells R, Brunetti E. Abdominal tuberculosis: sonographic diagnosis and treatment response in HIV-positive adults in rural South Africa. *International Journal of Infectious Diseases* 2010;**14 Suppl 3**:e108–12.

Heller 2010b

Heller T, Wallrauch C, Lessells RJ, Goblirsch S, Brunetti E. Short course for focused assessment with sonography for

human immunodeficiency virus/tuberculosis: preliminary results in a rural setting in South Africa with high prevalence of human immunodeficiency virus and tuberculosis. *American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene* 2010;**82**(3):512–5.

Heller 2013

Heller T, Goblirsch S, Bahlas S, Ahmed M, Giordani M-T, Wallrauch C, et al. Diagnostic value of FASH ultrasound and chest X-ray in HIV-co-infected patients with abdominal tuberculosis. *International Journal of Tuberculosis and Lung Disease* 2013;**17**(3):342–4.

Ibrahim 2005

Ibrahim M, Osoba A O. Abdominal tuberculosis. On-going challenge to gastroenterologists. *Saudi Medical Journal* 2005;**26**(2):274–80.

Jadvar 1997

Jadvar H, Mindelzun RE, Olcott EW, Levitt DB. Still the great mimicker: abdominal tuberculosis. *AJR. American Journal of Roentgenology* 1997;**168**(6):1455–60.

Joshi 2014

Joshi AR, Basantani AS, Patel TC. Role of CT and MRI in abdominal tuberculosis. *Current Radiology Reports* 2014;**2**: 66.

Kapoor 1998

Kapoor VK. Abdominal tuberculosis. *Postgraduate Medical Journal* 1998;**74**(874):459–67.

Kim 1998

Kim KM, Lee A, Choi KY, Lee KY, Kwak JJ. Intestinal tuberculosis: clinicopathologic analysis and diagnosis by endoscopic biopsy. *American Journal of Gastroenterology* 1998;**93**(4):606–9.

Kingkaew 2009

Kingkaew N, Sangtong B, Amnuaiphon W, Jongpaibulpatana J, Mankatitham W, Akksilp S, et al. HIV-associated extrapulmonary tuberculosis in Thailand: epidemiology and risk factors for death. *International Journal of Infectious Diseases* 2009;**13**(6):722–9.

Lawn 2011

Lawn SD, Zumla AI. Tuberculosis. *Lancet* 2011;**378**(9785):57–72.

Lee 2012

Lee WK, Van Tonder F, Tartaglia CJ, Dagia C, Cazzato RL, Duddalwar VA, et al. CT appearances of abdominal tuberculosis. *Clinical Radiology* 2012;**67**(6):596–604.

Mandal 2011

Mandal A, Das SK, Bairagya TD. Presenting experience of managing abdominal tuberculosis at a tertiary care hospital in India. *Journal of Global Infectious Diseases* 2011;**3**(4): 344–7.

Martin-Bates 1993

Martin-Bates E, Tanner A, Suvana SK, Glazer G, Coleman DV. Use of fine needle aspiration cytology for investigating

- lymphadenopathy in HIV positive patients. *Journal of Clinical Pathology* 1993;**46**(6):564–6.
- Monill-Serra 1997**
Monill-Serra JM, Martinez-Noguera A, Montserrat E, Maideu J, Sabaté JM. Abdominal ultrasound findings of disseminated tuberculosis in AIDS. *Journal of Clinical Ultrasound*: JCU 1997;**25**(1):1–6.
- Mugala 2006**
Mugala DD. Abdominal tuberculosis in Chingola-Zambia: pattern of presentation. *East and Central African Journal of Surgery* 2006;**11**(2):41–7.
- Namme 2013**
Namme LH, Marie-Solange D, Hugo Bertrand MN, Elvis T, Achu JH, Christopher K. Extrapulmonary tuberculosis and HIV coinfection in patients treated for tuberculosis at the Douala General Hospital in Cameroon. *Annals of Tropical Medicine and Public Health* 2013;**6**(1):100–4.
- NICE 2016**
National Institute for Health and Care Excellence. Tuberculosis. NICE guideline [NG33]. Published date: January 2016. Last updated: May 2016. www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ng33 (accessed 14 June 2017).
- Padmapriyadarsini 2011**
Padmapriyadarsini C, Narendran G, Swaminathan S. Diagnosis & treatment of tuberculosis in HIV co-infected patients. *Indian Journal of Medical Research* 2011;**134**(6): 850–65.
- Palmieri 2002**
Palmieri F, Girardi E, Pellicelli AM, Rianda A, Bordi E, Rizzi EB, et al. Pulmonary tuberculosis in HIV-infected patients presenting with normal chest radiograph and negative sputum smear. *Infection* 2002;**30**(2):68–74.
- Patel 2011**
Patel MN, Beningsfield S, Burch V. Abdominal and pericardial ultrasound in suspected extrapulmonary or disseminated tuberculosis. *South African Medical Journal* 2011;**101**(1):39–42.
- RevMan 2014 [Computer program]**
Nordic Cochrane Centre, The Cochrane Collaboration. Review Manager 5 (RevMan 5). Version 5.3. Copenhagen: Nordic Cochrane Centre, The Cochrane Collaboration, 2014.
- Riquelme 2006**
Riquelme A, Calvo M, Salech F, Valderrama S, Pattillo A, Arellano M, et al. Value of adenosine deaminase (ADA) in ascitic fluid for the diagnosis of tuberculous peritonitis: a meta-analysis. *Journal of Clinical Gastroenterology* 2006;**40**(8):705–10.
- Rutjes 2006**
Rutjes AW, Reitsma JB, Di Nisio M, Smidt N, van Rijn JC, Bossuyt PM. Evidence of bias and variation in diagnostic accuracy studies. *CMAJ* 2006;**174**(4):469–76.
- Rutter 2001**
Rutter CM, Gatsonis CA. A hierarchical regression approach to meta-analysis of diagnostic test accuracy evaluations. *Statistics in Medicine* 2001;**20**(19):2865–84.
- Sanai 2005**
Sanai FM, Bzeizi KI. Systematic review: tuberculous peritonitis-presenting features, diagnostic strategies and treatment. *Alimentary Pharmacology & Therapeutics* 2005;**22**(8):685–700.
- SAS 2016 [Computer program]**
SAS Institute Inc.. SAS Institute Inc.. Version SAS version 9.4. Cary, NC, USA: SAS Institute Inc., 2016.
- Sculier 2010**
Sculier D, Vannarith C, Pe R, Thai S, Kanara N, Borann S, et al. Performance of abdominal ultrasound for diagnosis of tuberculosis in HIV-infected persons living in Cambodia. *Journal of Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndromes* 2010;**55**(4):500–2.
- Shah 2016**
Shah M, Hanrahan C, Wang ZY, Dendukuri N, Lawn SD, Denkinger CM, et al. Lateral flow urine lipoarabinomannan assay for detecting active tuberculosis in HIV-positive adults. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2016, Issue 5. [DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD011420.pub2]
- Sharma 2004a**
Sharma MP, Bhatia V. Abdominal tuberculosis. *Indian Journal of Medical Research* 2004;**120**(4):305–15.
- Sharma 2004b**
Sharma SK, Mohan A. Extrapulmonary tuberculosis. *Indian Journal of Medical Research* 2004;**120**(4):316–53.
- Sharma 2005**
Sharma SK, Mohan A, Kadiravan T. HIV-TB co-infection: epidemiology, diagnosis & management. *Indian Journal of Medical Research* 2005;**121**(4):550–67.
- Sinkala 2009**
Sinkala E, Gray S, Zulu I, Mudenda V, Zimba L, Vermund S H, et al. Clinical and ultrasonographic features of abdominal tuberculosis in HIV positive adults in Zambia. *BMC Infectious Diseases* 2009;**9**:44.
- STATA 2015 [Computer program]**
StataCorp LLC. StataCorp. 2017 Stata Statistical Software. Version release 15. College Station, TX: StataCorp LLC, 2017.
- Steingart 2006a**
Steingart KR, Henry M, Ng V, Hopewell PC, Ramsay A, Cunningham J, et al. Fluorescence versus conventional sputum smear microscopy for tuberculosis: a systematic review. *Lancet Infectious Diseases* 2006;**6**(9):570–81.
- Steingart 2006b**
Steingart KR, Ng V, Henry M, Hopewell PC, Ramsay A, Cunningham J, et al. Sputum processing methods to improve the sensitivity of smear microscopy for tuberculosis: a systematic review. *Lancet Infectious Diseases* 2006;**6**(10): 664–74.
- Steingart 2014**
Steingart KR, Schiller I, Horne DJ, Pai M, Boehme CC, Dendukuri N. Xpert® MTB/RIF assay for pulmonary tuberculosis and rifampicin resistance in adults. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2014, Issue 1. [DOI:

10.1002/14651858.CD009593.pub3; PUBMED:
PMC4470349]

Takwoingi 2015

Takwoingi Y, Guo B, Riley RD, Deeks JJ. Performance of methods for meta-analysis of diagnostic test accuracy with few studies or sparse data. *Statistical Methods in Medical Research* 2015;**2015**:1–19. [DOI: 10.1177/0962280215592269]

Whiting 2011

Whiting PF, Rutjes AW, Westwood ME, Mallett S, Deeks JJ, Reitsma JB, et al. QUADAS-2: a revised tool for the quality assessment of diagnostic accuracy studies. *Annals of Internal Medicine* 2011;**155**(8):529–36.

WHO 2008

World Health Organization. Worldwide prevalence of anaemia 1993-2005. WHO global database on anaemia. Publication date: 2008. www.who.int/vmnis/publications/anaemia/prevalence/en/. Geneva: World Health Organization, (accessed 14 June 2017).

WHO 2013a

World Health Organization. Definitions and reporting framework for tuberculosis: 2013 revision (updated December 2014). http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/79199/1/9789241505345_eng.pdf. Geneva: World Health Organization, (accessed 14 June 2017).

WHO 2013b

World Health Organization. Systematic screening for active tuberculosis: principles and recommendations. 2013. http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/84971/1/9789241548601_eng.pdf?ua=1. Geneva: World Health Organization, (accessed 14 June 2017).

WHO 2015

World Health Organization. The use of lateral flow urine lipoarabinomannan assay (LF-LAM) for the diagnosis and screening of active tuberculosis in people living with HIV. Policy update. 2015. <http://www.who.int/tb/publications/use-of-lf-lam-tb-hiv/en/>. Geneva: World Health Organization, (accessed 14 June 2017).

WHO 2016a

World Health Organization. Consolidated guidelines on the use of antiretroviral drugs for treating and preventing HIV infection. Recommendations for a public health approach - 2nd edition. <http://www.who.int/hiv/pub/arv/arv-2016/en/>. Geneva: World Health Organization, (accessed 14 June 2017).

WHO 2016b

World Health Organization. Global tuberculosis report 2016. <http://www.who.int/tb/publications/global-report/en/>. Geneva: World Health Organization, (accessed 14 June 2017).

Wilkins 1984

Wilkins EG. Tuberculosis peritonitis: diagnostic value of the ascitic/blood glucose ratio. *Tubercle* 1984;**65**(1):47–52.

Wilson 2006

Wilson D, Nachea J, Morroni C, Chaisson R, Maartens G. Diagnosing smear-negative tuberculosis using case definitions and treatment response in HIV-infected adults. *International Journal of Tuberculosis and Lung Disease* 2006;**10**(1):31–8.

* Indicates the major publication for the study

APPENDICES

Appendix I. MEDLINE search strategy (OVID)

- 1 extrapulmonary tuberculosis.mp.
- 2 Peritonitis, Tuberculous/ or Tuberculosis, Gastrointestinal/ or Tuberculosis, Hepatic/
- 3 abdominal tuberculosis.mp.
- 4 Tuberculosis, Hepatic/ or liver tuberculosis.mp. or gastric tuberculosis.mp or intestinal tuberculosis.mp
- 5 Tuberculosis, Miliary/
- 6 disseminated tuberculosis.mp.
- 7 1 or 2 or 3 or 4 or 5 or 6
- 8 HIV infection.mp. or HIV Infections/
- 9 exp HIV/
- 10 human immunodeficiency virus.mp.
- 11 Acquired Immunodeficiency Syndrome/ or acquired immunodeficiency syndrome.mp.
- 12 (acquired immun* and deficiency syndrome).mp.
- 13 (HIV* adj2 (people or person* or patient*)).mp. or PLHIV.mp

Abdominal ultrasound for diagnosing abdominal tuberculosis or disseminated tuberculosis with abdominal involvement in HIV-positive adults (Protocol)

Copyright © 2017 The Cochrane Collaboration. Published by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

14 8 or 9 or 10 or 11 or 12 or 13
 15 7 and 14
 16 Abdomen/us [Ultrasonography]
 17 Radiography/ or (X-ray*).mp
 18 ultrasound.mp. or barium.mp
 19 Tomography, X-Ray Computed/
 20 (comput* adj2 tomograph*).mp.
 21 Magnetic Resonance Imaging/
 22 (MRI or CAT).mp.
 23 Ultrasonography/ or ultrasonograph*.mp.
 24 Bacteriological Techniques/ or Sputum/ or sputum specimen.mp.
 25 liquid culture system.mp.
 26 Xpert MTB*.mp.
 27 Genotype MTBDR*.mp.
 28 lipoarabinomannan.mp. or LAM.mp or LF-LAM.mp
 29 (QuantiFERON-TB-Gold). mp or Tuberculin Test/ or tuberculin.mp
 30 Diagnostic Imaging/ or Point-of-Care Systems/
 31 CD4 Lymphocyte Count/ or (CD4 adj2 (cells or lymphocytes).mp
 32 Laparotomy.mp or laparoscopy.mp or (fine needle aspiration).mp
 33 Ascites/diagnosis or Ascites/microbiology or Paracentesis/ or Laparoscopy/
 34 colonoscopy.mp. or Colonoscopy/
 35 16 or 17 or 18 or 19 or 20 or 21 or 22 or 23 or 24 or 25 or 26 or 27 or 28 or 29 or 30 or
 31 or 32 or 33 or 34
 36 15 and 35

This is the preliminary search strategy for MEDLINE (OVID), which we will adapt to search other electronic databases. We will report all search strategies in full in the final version of the review.

Appendix 2. QUADAS-2 tool tailored to the context of the review

Domain	Patient selection	Index test	Reference standard	Flow and timing
Description	Methods of patient selection	How index test was conducted and reported	How reference standard was conducted and reported	Describe patients that did not receive and time interval between index test or reference standard
Signalling questions (yes, no, or unclear)	Consecutive or random sample of patients? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Yes if the study reported consecutive enrolment or random sampling of patients. • No if patients were purposefully selected, for example based on previous test results (other tests or reference standard). 	Index test results interpreted without knowledge of the results of reference standard? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Yes if it is apparent that ultrasound (and test combinations) results were interpreted without knowledge of reference standard results. • No if results of 	Reference standard likely to correctly classify the target condition? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Yes if the higher quality reference standard was used (that is, culture, microscopic identification of acid fast bacilli, or Xpert). • No if the lower quality reference standard was used (that 	Was there an appropriate interval between index test and reference standard? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Yes if abdominal ultrasound and the reference standard(s) (samples taken or clinical diagnosis made) were performed at the same time or if the time

(Continued)

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Unclear if the study did not explicitly state consecutive enrolment or random sampling, and it was unclear how patients were sampled. 	<p>ultrasound (and test combinations) were interpreted with knowledge of the reference standard results.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Unclear if insufficient information on how ultrasound (and test combinations) was 	<p>is, not coupled with any mentioned in higher quality reference).</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Unclear if insufficient information on the reference standard(s) used. 	<p>interval is less than one week.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> No if the time period between ultrasound and the reference standard is more than one week. Unclear if insufficient or no information on the time
<p>Was a case-control design avoided?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Yes if a case-control design was not used. No if patients with known disease (cases) and patients without the disease (controls) were clearly enrolled (such that participants are unrepresentative of the spectrum of patients seen in clinical practice). Unclear if the study design used was not clearly reported. 	<p>Pre-specified threshold used?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Yes if the study states the use of one, prespecified, cut-off value, for example, "abdominal lymph nodes greater than 10mm in the shortest diameter were deemed as a positive result". No if multiple cut-off values were evaluated and an optimal one (based on maximising test accuracy) was subsequently chosen. Unclear if a cut-off was used but was not reported, or only one cut-off value was reported, but was not explicitly pre-specified in the study. 	<p>Reference standard results interpreted without knowledge of the results of index test?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Yes if results of the reference standard are interpreted without knowledge of ultrasound results. However, the clinical reference standard may incorporate ultrasound. No if results of the reference standard were interpreted with knowledge of ultrasound results Unclear if there is insufficient information on whether or not the reference standard results were interpreted with knowledge of ultrasound results 	<p>Did all patients receive a reference standard?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Yes if all participants received a reference standard. No if one or more participants did not receive a reference standard. Unclear if there is insufficient information to determine whether or not all patients received a reference standard.
<p>Did the study avoid inappropriate exclusions?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Yes if no patients were excluded after inclusion in the study. No if specific populations were excluded (for example, pregnant patients, elderly), or patients with high CD4 counts were excluded because of low 		<p>Was incorporation bias avoided (inclusion of index test as part of the reference standard)?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Yes if abdominal ultrasound was not used as part of the reference standard. No if abdominal ultrasound formed part of the reference standard. 	<p>Did all patients receive the same reference standard?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Yes if study participants received the same reference standard (regardless of ultrasound result). No if participants did not receive the same reference standard. Unclear if there is

(Continued)

	clinical suspicion of TB.		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Unclear if 	insufficient information Were all patients included in the analysis? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Yes if all participants recruited into the study were included in the analysis. No if some participants recruited into the study were excluded in the analysis. Unclear if unreported or insufficient information given to make a decision.
Risk of bias¹ (high, low, or unclear)	Could the selection of patients have introduced bias?	Could the conduct or interpretation of the index test have introduced bias?	Could the reference standard, its conduct, or its interpretation has introduced bias?	Could the patient flow have introduced bias?
Applicability concerns (high, low, or unclear)	Are there concerns that the included patients do not match the review question? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> High if participants received ultrasound in a tertiary care (referral) centre. Low if participants received ultrasound in any setting. Unclear if insufficient information to make a decision. 	Are there concerns that the index test, its conduct, or interpretation differs from the review question? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> High if, for example, specially trained radiologists performed the ultrasound. Low if non-radiologists performed the ultrasounds. Unclear if insufficient information to make a decision. 	Are there concerns that the target condition as defined by the reference standard does not match the review question? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> High if studies did not speciate mycobacteria isolated in culture or clinically diagnosed TB cases were not followed up to evaluate treatment response. Low if studies did speciate mycobacteria isolated in culture or clinically diagnosed TB cases improved on anti-TB therapy. Unclear if insufficient information to make a decision. 	Not applicable

Abbreviations: TB: tuberculosis

¹Grading criteria for 'Risk of bias' assessment

- If all signalling questions for a domain are answered 'yes' then we will judge the risk of bias to be 'low'.

- If any signalling question is answered ' no' this will flag the potential for bias and we will judge risk of bias with a senior review author.
- If all signalling questions or most of them were answered ' no', then we will judge the risk of bias as ' high'.
- We will assign the ' unclear' category when the study authors report insufficient data to permit a judgment.

CONTRIBUTIONS OF AUTHORS

Daniël J van Hoving wrote the first draft of the protocol.

Eleanor A Ochodo and Yemisi Takwoingi provided methodological advice.

Rulan Griesel, Graeme Meintjes, and Gary Maartens provided content advice.

Daniël van Hoving, Eleanor A Ochodo, Graeme Meintjes, and Yemisi Takwoingi edited the protocol.

All authors read and approved the final protocol draft.

DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

Daniël J van Hoving has no conflicts of interest to declare

Graeme Meintjes has no conflicts of interest to declare

Yemisi Takwoingi has no conflicts of interest to declare

Rulan Griesel has no conflicts of interest to declare

Gary Maartens has no conflicts of interest to declare

Eleanor A Ochodo has no conflicts of interest to declare

SOURCES OF SUPPORT

Internal sources

- South African Medical Research Council, South Africa.
- Liverpool School of Tropical Medicine, UK.

External sources

- Department for International Development, UK.
Grant: 5242
- Wellcome Trust Foundation, UK.
Grant: 109939
- Wellcome Trust Foundation, UK.
Grant: 098316
- South African Research Chairs Initiative of the Department of Science and Technology and National Research Foundation, South Africa.
Grant: 64787
- National Research Foundation incentive funding, South Africa.
UID: 85858
- TB and HIV Collaborating Centres Programme, South African Medical Research Council, South Africa.
RFA# SAMRC-RFA-CC: TB/HIV/AIDS-01-2014